FEEDBACK REPORT MANGALDAI COLLEGE 2021-22



Prepared By Feedback Committee Mangaldai College 2021-22

Members of Feedback Committee

Dr. Pranjit Kumar Sarma, Convenor Mr. HirenDeka, Member Ms. Deepa Pradhan, Member Mr. Jintumani Nath Ms. Chayanika Rabha, Member Dr. Rajreepa Talukdar

MANGALDAI COLLEGE, MANGALDAI FEEDBACK 2021-22

Feedback Type: ONLINE (GOOGLE FORM)

Categories Feedback:

Feedback were collected from five different categories of stakeholders namely student's feedback, teacher's feedback, parents, alumni feedback and student satisfaction survey.

Summary of statistics of feedback received:

Sl. No	Feedback Category	Total number of	Average opinions of
		stakeholder recorded	ans wer in percentage
		fee dback	
1	Student's Feedback	1066	85.98
2	Teacher's Feedback	42	79.66
3	Parents Feedback	706	77.98
4	Alumni Feedback	297	90.71
5	Student Satisfaction Survey	263	84.20
Total Number of Responder 2374			
Average	67.50		


Scale of opinion of all stakeholders found to be at rank B = (79-60)

SCALE OF OPINION OF STAKHOLDER (STUDENT, TEACHER, PARENTS, ALUMNI)				
A	В	С	D	
A= 100-80	B= 79–60	C= 59-40	D= Less Than 40	

MANGALDAI COLLEGE, MANGALDAI FEEDBACK 2021-22

LIST OF DEPARTMENTS PARTICIPATED IN STUDENT FEEDBACK & STUDENT SATISCATION SURVEY

Sl No	Department	No. of Respondent
1	Arabic	11
2	Assamese	NIL
3	Bado	NIL
4	B.Voc.	108
5	Botany	35
6	Chemistry	56
7	Computer Science	31
8	Economics	45
9	Education	268
10	English	67
11	Geography	109
12	History	25
13	Maths	13
14	Philosophy	55
15	Physics	20
16	Political Science	145
17	Sanskrit	23
18	Statistics	10
19	Zoology	45
Total Respondent		1066



STUDENT'S FEEDBACK ON COURSE

TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENT = 1066

MODE OF FEEDBACK COLLECTION = ONLINE (GOOGLE FORM)

NUMBER OF QUESTIONS ATTEMPTED: 12

Feedback on course has been taken from 1066 students from 17 departments of the college. The responses of students on different aspects of the course are as follows.




Fig. 1 Student response on learning values in terms of skill, concept, knowledge, etc




Fig. 2 Student response on applicability / relevance of the course in real life




Fig. 3. Student response on depth of the course content




Fig. 4. Student response of extent of course cover




Fig. 5. Student response on clarity of reading material




Fig. 6 Student response on extent of effort required by students for the course




Fig. 7 Student response on relevance / learning value of project report




Fig. 8 Student response on course




Fig. 9. Student response on syllabus covered in classroom




Fig. 10. Student response on library holding




Fig. 11. Student response on access to the library





Fig. 12 Student response on effect of internal assessment in grade

Observations:

- The extent of fully covered course is only 60%, which need to be increase for enhance the quality of education.
- 15.64% of the student feels that course they have taken is difficult to understand. Hence faculty members should take measures to make the course more convenient and easy to understand for those students.
- There is an observation that during the session only 40% of the course syllabus has been covered from 90-100%, which is quite low. Hence authority should take pragmatic steps to make it at least 80% in next session.
- 31.07 % students feel that the library holding is inadequate. Therefore, authority should immediately increase the library holding.
- Authority should take measures to make access to the library more convenient for students.



2021-22


STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS DEPARTMENT OF ARABIC


Teacher's Name:


AU = ASER UDDIN


AQ = DR. ABUL QASIM


MRS = MAHMUDA ROSHMI SIDDIQUA



















































OBSERVATIONS


- 1. The faculty members have received outstanding responses from the students.
- 2. The faculties have been found to be very approachable by the students.
- 2. The course outline and lecture outline provided by the faculties is found to be extremely helpful by the students.


STUDENT FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS


Teacher's Name


LJG = Lakhyajyoti Gogoi HB = Himakshi Baishya





















































OBSERVATIONS

- 1. The faculty members have received outstanding responses from the students.
- 2. The faculties were able to integrate the course material in a broader perspective.
- 3. The course outline and lecture outline provided by the faculties is not found to be extremely helpful by the students.


STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


Teacher's Name


PD = Dr. Prativa Deka


SA = Dr. Sahabuddin Ahmed
 DK = Dr. Debashree Kakati
 RT = Dr. Rajreepa Talukdar


KSB = Kuntala Sarma Bordoloi






















































OBSERVATIONS


- 1. The faculty members have received outstanding responses from the students.
- 2. All the faculties were easily accessible and was founded to be friendly by the students.
- 3. The course outline and lecture outline provided by the faculties have the potential to be fully helpful by the students.


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Teacher's Name:


APS = Dr. Atul Prashad Sikdar NI = Dr. Nasier Ul Islam PG = Dr. Pankaj Ghosh PLS = Dr. Pallabi Saikia PRS = Priya Sonowal













































Observations:


All faculty members are effectively teaching with student friendly approach.


Students Feedback on Teachers:


DK = Dipjyoti Kalita


HD = Hiren Deka




































1.	The faculty members have received very good response from the students.
	Improvement is required on providing the course outline by all the faculties.


Students Feedback on Teacher:


SB = Dr. Santosh Borkakati


US = Umesh Sarma HS = Himraj Sandilya MRK = Murshidara Khanam






















































OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS:


- 1. The faculty members have received very good response from the students.
- 2. Improvement is required on providing the course outline by all the faculties.

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


BS = BABITA SARMA

KB = DR. KUNJALATA BARUAH URD = DR. USHARANI DEKA JS = JYOTIPRIYA SAIKIA





2021-22





ΚB


URD


JS


BS




















2021-22




ΚB


URD

JS


50

BS





2021-22



OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS:

- 1. Faculty members received good response from the students.
- 2. The faculty needs to enhance their ability to integrate course materials with environment or other issues for a broader perspective.
- 3. More assignments needs to be given.

Student Feedback on Teachers:


MB = Manimugdha Barthakur


RD = Dr. Runjun Devi


RRB = Rupa Rani Bhuyan


AS = Agnisikha Sarma


SRY = Sultana Rezuwana Yasmin





















































STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


HD = Hariman Deka


PN = Dr. Pabitra Kumar Nath


MS = Dr. Mukut Sarma


JKB = Dr, Jayanta Kumar Boruah PKS = Dr. Pranjit Kumar Sarma


DP = Deepa Pradhan
















































OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS: 1. Faculty members received very good response from the students on an average. 2. Improvement is required in preparation for classes by some faculties.	

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

SG = Dr. Sangeeta Gogoi

ANG = Dr. Angiras MD = Dr. Mridul Deka HR = Hrishita Rajbongshi


SG





ANG


MD


HR















































OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS:


- 1. Faculty members received good response from the students.
- 2. Allotted topic needs to be made more understandable by some faculty members.
- 3. Improvement is required in preparing more before class by some faculty members.
- 4. Some faculty members needs to provide more helpful advice with a friendly approach.


STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


DN = Debajit Nath


DK = Dimbeswar Kalita


JMN = Jintumoni Kalita



















































31. If you have other comments to offer on the course and the instructor you may do so below.2 responses

Teachers should discuss how to prepare for entrance exams Very good



OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS:

- 1. Faculty members received good response from the students.
- 2. Preparation for class by some faculty members needs to be increased.
- 3. Interest on the course should be increased by faculty members.
- 4. Feedback on performance to be provided regularly.

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


BH = Bhupen Haloi

MK = Manju Kalita


ND = Nabajyoti Doley

BC = Bhupali Chaliha


13. The alloted syllabus was




14. Was the allotted topic to the teacher conceptually very difficult to understand




15. How well did the teacher prepared for the class?




16. How did the teacher communicate?




17. Interest generated by the teacher




18. Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.




19. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




20. Ability to design quizzes/exam/assignment




21. Knowledge base of the teacher




22. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




23. Did the teacher encourage the student participation in class




24. If yes, which of the following methods were used?




25. How helpful was the teacher in advising?




26. The teacher's approach can be best described as



27. How did the teacher provide feedback on your performance




28. Were assignments given to you




30. If yes, was it helpful

54 responses




29. Were you provided with a course or lecture outline at the beginning



32. Overall Rating

55 responses



OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS

• T1	ne faculty r	nembers !	have received	very good	response	from the student	ts.
------	--------------	-----------	---------------	-----------	----------	------------------	-----

•	Impro vement	is required	on provid	ling the course	outline by	all the faculties.
---	--------------	-------------	-----------	-----------------	------------	--------------------


Students Feedback on Teachers:


RS = Dr. Ranjan Sarma


KS = Dr. Kangkan Sarma


AP = Dr. Ananya Phukan


CR = Chayanika Rabha






































OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS

- 1. Faculty members of the Department have a good response from the students.
- 2. Inclusive approach in teaching must be adopted by all the faculties for better result.


2021-22


Student Feedback on Teachers:


IN = Issaram Nath
BD = Dr. Barnali Deka
AT = Dr. Anupam Thakuria


KK = Kabita Kalita


AS = Anindita Sahariah










































STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE MANGALDAI COLLEGE 2021-22

30. If yes, was it helpful
143 responses

Yes
No
Maybe



OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS


- 1. The Department faculty members have excellent response from the students.
- 2. The use of English is to be given emphasis in the class as pointed out by some respondents.
- 3. As a whole, the Department is functioning to its best with good responses from the students.


STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


DN = Debajit Nath


DK = Dimbeswar Kalita


JMN = Jintumoni Kalita



















































31. If you have other comments to offer on the course and the instructor you may do so below.2 responses

Teachers should discuss how to prepare for entrance exams Very good



OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS:

- 1. Faculty members received good response from the students.
- 2. Preparation for class by some faculty members needs to be increased.
- 3. Interest on the course should be increased by faculty members.
- 4. Feedback on performance to be provided regularly.

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


BH = Bhupen Haloi

MK = Manju Kalita


ND = Nabajyoti Doley

BC = Bhupali Chaliha


13. The alloted syllabus was




14. Was the allotted topic to the teacher conceptually very difficult to understand




15. How well did the teacher prepared for the class?




16. How did the teacher communicate?




17. Interest generated by the teacher




18. Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.




19. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




20. Ability to design quizzes/exam/assignment




21. Knowledge base of the teacher




22. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




23. Did the teacher encourage the student participation in class




24. If yes, which of the following methods were used?




25. How helpful was the teacher in advising?




26. The teacher's approach can be best described as



27. How did the teacher provide feedback on your performance




28. Were assignments given to you




30. If yes, was it helpful

54 responses




29. Were you provided with a course or lecture outline at the beginning



32. Overall Rating

55 responses



OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS

• T1	ne faculty r	nembers !	have received	very good	response	from the student	ts.
------	--------------	-----------	---------------	-----------	----------	------------------	-----

•	Impro vement	is required	on provid	ling the course	outline by	all the faculties.
---	--------------	-------------	-----------	-----------------	------------	--------------------


Students Feedback on Teachers:


RS = Dr. Ranjan Sarma


KS = Dr. Kangkan Sarma


AP = Dr. Ananya Phukan


CR = Chayanika Rabha






































OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS

- 1. Faculty members of the Department have a good response from the students.
- 2. Inclusive approach in teaching must be adopted by all the faculties for better result.

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE MANGALDAI COLLEGE


2021-22


Student Feedback on Teachers:

IN = Issaram Nath
BD = Dr. Barnali Deka
AT = Dr. Anupam Thakuria

KK = Kabita Kalita


AS = Anindita Sahariah







STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE MANGALDAI COLLEGE


2021-22









STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE MANGALDAI COLLEGE 2021-22









STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE MANGALDAI COLLEGE


2021-22






STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE MANGALDAI COLLEGE


2021-22




STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE MANGALDAI COLLEGE




STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE MANGALDAI COLLEGE



STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE MANGALDAI COLLEGE






STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE MANGALDAI COLLEGE 2021-22

30. If yes, was it helpful
143 responses

Yes
No
Maybe





OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS


- 1. The Department faculty members have excellent response from the students.
- 2. The use of English is to be given emphasis in the class as pointed out by some respondents.
- 3. As a whole, the Department is functioning to its best with good responses from the students.


STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER:


GK = Gagen Kakati AD = Dr. Aparna Devi













































OBSERVATIONS ON FEEDBACK ON THE FACULTY MEMBERS


- 1. The Faculty members of the department has a good response from the students.
- 2. The communication between the teacher and studentmust be improved.
- 3. The students feel the commitment of the students should be improved.


STUDENT FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS


RG = Ranjit Goswami


PD = Pranab Das


















































OBSERVATIONS


- 1. The faculty members have received outstanding responses from the students.
- 2. The faculties encouraged the students to a great extent by discussing the subject in the class.
- 3. The course outline and lecture outline provided by the faculties is found to be extremely helpful by the students.


STUDENT FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS


LS = Dr. Leena Saikia MS = Dr. Minati Sarma SJ = Dr. Seema Jyoti BR = Bikash Rabha PD = Preeti Das TR = Tunija Baro





















































OBSERVATIONS

- 1. The faculties have been found to have very effective communication with the students.
- 2. The students have been assigned a good number of assignments.
- 2. The course outline and lecture outline provided by the faculties is found to be helpful by a vast percentage of the students.

<u>CATEGORY – 2</u> TEACHER'S FEEDBACK ON INSTITUTION


TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON INSTITUTION MANGALDAI COLLEGE FEEDBACK 2021-22

Category: 2: TEACHERS' FEEDBACK


Mode of feedback collection: ONLINE (Google Form)

Total number of responder: 42
Total number questions attempted: 11

1. The College is providing all basic facilities to enhance the teaching learning process.




2. The curriculum is designed to promote student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.




TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON INSTITUTION MANGALDAI COLLEGE FEEDBACK 2021-22


3. The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in allotted teaching hours.




4. Syllabus is designed in a way to include scientific temper, moral, social and spiritual values in the minds of learner.




5. Faculty members can express their opinions freely to the administration.




6. Administration is sensitive to the concerns raised by the faculty members.




7. College administration provides opportunities for professional development of the faculty.




8. Adequate research facilities available in the college.




9. Adequate lavatory facilities available in the departments.




10. Adequate ICT facilities available in the departments.



11. College campus is safe and secure.



12. Teachers feedback on institution



Observations:

- 1. 16.6 % faculty members feel that coverage of syllabus is not possible in allotted teaching hours. This need to be address effectively.
- 2. The research facilities need to be enhance, as 69% faculty feel that it is inadequate.
- 3. ICT facilities need to be increase in the college.

CATEGORY – 3 PARENTS FEEDBACK **ON INSTITUTION**


Category: 3: Parents Feedback

Mode of Feedback Collection: Online (Google Form)


Total Responses: 706

Total number questions attempted: 09


1. Admission procedure of the college is up to the mark and transparent.




2. Fee structure is good and affordable




3. Infrastructure of the college is up to the mark




4. College has sufficient facilities of laboratories, e-resources etc.




5. Faculty members are well trained and having good knowledge base




6. Library facility of the college is good




7. Hostel facilities is good in the college




8. College Academic Environment is good and provide quality education



9. College takes active interest in promoting internship, projects undertaken, field visit opportunities



10. Over all responses of parents on institution.



Observations:

- 1. Laboratory and E-resources should be increase in the college.
- 2. Hostel facilities should be enhanced in the college.
- 3. Internship, field visit and project work should be promote among the students

CATEGORY - 4 **ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON INSTITUTION**

Category: 4: ALUMNI FEEDBACK

Mode of feedback collection: ONLINE (Google Form)

Total number of responder: 297
Total number questions attempted: 07

The alumni feedback of the college was received using online mode (Google Form). A total 297 responses were received from alumni. Seven questions related to academic ambience, infrastructure, library facility, faculty members, placement assistant, extracurricular activity, counselling provided by the college were asked to the alumni of the college.




Fig. 1 Alumni Feedback on Academic Ambiance of the College




Fig. 2 Alumni Feedback on Infrastructure of the College




Fig. 3. Alumni Feedback on Library of the College




Fig. 4. Alumni Feedback on Faculty Members of the College




Fig. 5. Alumni Feedback on Placement Assistant




Fig. 6. Alumni Feedback on Extracurricular Activities of the College





Fig. 7 Alumni Feedback on Student Counselling



4	Q . 1 .	1 *	- 1	. 1		•
	Student counse	lınα	neede	ta l	10	1mnrove
1.	Student counse.	шц	nccus	w	\mathcal{I}	IIIDIO VC.

- 2. Campus placement facility needs to introduce in the college.
- 3. Extracurricular activity need to enhance in the college.

CATEGORY – 5 STUDENT SATISFACTION **SURVEY**


STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY

TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENT = 263


MODE OF FEEDBACK COLLECTION = ONLINE (GOOGLE FORM)

NUMBER OF QUESTIONS ATTEMPTED: 20


1. Admission process in effective




2. Attract meritorious students




3. Availability of student centric learning resources




4. Faculties are knowledgeable




5. Class are as per schedule




6. Library is a major source of information




7. Timing of library is convenient




8. Teaching aids in departments are sufficient




9. Effective examination system




10. Suggestions for improvements in teaching learning




11. Class rooms furniture are adequate




12. Toils are sufficient for faculty and students




13. Building are well maintained




14. Adequate water resources available in the college




15. Safe drinking water is available




16. Sports facilities are well and good




17. The administration is sincerely putting efforts for development of the college




18. The administration is accessible




19. The faculty are given freedom to express their opinions



20. The IQAC is working well to promote quality in the college



21. Overall student satisfaction pattern



Observations:

- 1. Safe dirking water outlets need to be enhanced in the college campus.
- 2. Sports facilities need to be enhanced in the college.
- 3. Toilets should be clean regularly.
- 4. Student centric learning resources should be increase.

FEEDBACK REPORT MANGALDAI COLLEGE 2021-22



Prepared By
Feedback Committee
I.Q.A.C
Mangaldai College
2021-22

Members of Feedback Committee (2021-21)

Dr. Pranjit Kumar Sarma Convenor


Mr. Hiren Deka Member

Ms. Deepa Pradhan Member

Mr. Jintumani Nath Member

Ms. Chayanika Rabha Member

Dr. Rajreepa Talukdar Member




EMPLOYERS' FEEDBACK MANGALDAI COLLEGE FEEDBACK 2021-22

Category: 2: EMPLOYERS' FEEDBACK


Mode of feedback collection: ONLINE (Google Form)

Total number of responder: 25
Total number questions attempted: 07


1. How far did the student graduated from our institution have met your expectation?



2. Rate the effectiveness of the curriculum in developing analytical and problem solving skills.




3. Have the curriculum facilitated in holistic development of the student.




EMPLOYERS' FEEDBACK MANGALDAI COLLEGE FEEDBACK 2021-22


4. Role of curriculum in enhancement of intellectual of the student.



5. Awareness level of the student about current trends and development in the discipline.




6. Rate the proficiency of our graduates to adapt to the industry requirement.



EMPLOYERS' FEEDBACK MANGALDAI COLLEGE FEEDBACK 2021-22

7. Role of curriculum in employability of the student



OBSERVATIONS:

- 1. The overall feedback from employers is satisfactory and well appreciated.
- 2. The rate of proficiency of Mangaldai College graduates to adapt to the industry requirement is need to be improve.
- 3. More career counselling and awareness on current trend of technological and industrial development is needed.